

## Action and recommendations tracker

The recommendations tracker allows scrutiny committees to monitor responses, actions and outcomes against their recommendations or requests for further action. The tracker is updated following each meeting. Once an action has been completed, it will be removed from the tracker at the next meeting.

**Recommendations** – Officers to clear all responses with relevant Cabinet Member before returning to Democratic Services

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/Deadlines	Status
Digital Crime	18/11/22	The Committee felt that there was a need for greater awareness of the Digital Safety Package amongst schools and would welcome a list of schools who had not signed up, by division, so that local members could encourage schools to do so.	Ms Blow	-	<p>Updated Digital Safety Package for schools:</p>  <p>Updated Digital Safety Package for Scl</p> <p>List of schools not signed up to Digital Safety Package by division</p>  <p>Schools%20not%20signed%20up%20to%2</p>	Complete
Digital Crime	18/11/22	The Committee requested that the finalised report on the results and analysis of	Ms Blow		West Sussex Parent Carer online safety	Complete

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/ Deadlines	Status
		the county-wide parent/carer online safety survey be shared with the Committee.			Survey Results Summary 2022   West Sussex Parent Carer Online Safety S	
PRR – Highways and Transport	18/11/22	That the Cabinet Member considers if additional data might be publish in future PRR narratives for KPI41 (Killed and seriously injured casualties per billion vehicle miles)	Mr Davey	March 2023 meeting	Officers have suggested that the right balance would be an annual update to a 3 year rolling average on our website covering headline data e.g. Road Environment; Injudicious action; Impairment; Driver Rider Error; Behaviour.	Complete
Speed Limit Policy	18/11/22	Asked that the policy be looked at again for clarity for applicants, to make it clear that excessive average speed on a stretch of road would no longer be	Mr Ekinsmyth	Prior to the decision being taken	The Policy was reworded to remove any ambiguity that there was a requirement for speed limit requests to comply with average	Complete

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/Deadlines	Status
		used as a reason for not taking action.			<p>speed data. Text that implied average speeds must be within a threshold for a speed limit to be approved has been removed. The Policy now states that where average speeds are above the threshold values given (in table 2), supporting measures may need to be considered (as opposed to being required). Supporting measures has been redefined as both engineering and behavioural change measures to remove the reliance fully on expensive engineering measures.</p> <p><a href="#">Decision - West Sussex Speed Limit Policy Review HT13</a></p>	

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/Deadlines	Status
					<a href="#">(22/23)</a> <a href="http://modern.gov.co.uk">modern.gov.co.uk</a>	
Speed Limit Policy	18/11/22	Sought further information on killed and serious injured numbers by categories. In particular detailing how many of these could have been mitigated by factors within the County Council's control.	Mr Ekinsmyth	Jan 2023	Data is in the process of being collated.	Ongoing