

AGENCY	RECOGNISE				Performance Tables					
	Measure	Neglect Measures (SB)	Performance Improvement Plan Ta	Source of data	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	RAG rating	Direction of travel
Police	1	Number of neglect cases crimed		Sussex Police - This data is not collected regularly. This would need a targeted audit in order to collect and report this data. Time parameters would need to be set and a request made to the Police to carry out this audit. (E.g. Number of neglect cases crimed in the last 6 months)						
Children's services	2	Numbers and % of staff trained in recognising and responding to neglect		Children's services		103				
Education	3	Numbers and % of staff trained in recognising and responding to neglect (Education) NOTE: also investigating possible data to show which schools are engaging with this training and which are running their own training.		Education : DSL training data from Jez Prior. DSL Introductory Training to 163 staff DSL Refresher training to 326 staff DSL Networks (10 meetings) attended by 383 staff (average per meeting = 38) Responding to The Voice of the Child training = 107 members of staff DSL and Safeguarding Lead Governor Partnership training = 33	Intro: 163 Refresher: 326					↑
Health	4	Numbers and % of staff trained in recognising and responding to neglect		SPFT, SCFT, WFSHT - targets taken directly from Provider Neglect Action Plans						
Health	5	Numbers and % of staff trained in recognising and responding to neglect		CCG measure for Primary Care Training (% of GP surgery staff attending training)						
Police	6	Police Practitioners and Managers are able to recognise the signs of neglect		Police	94%					
Police	7	Staff to ensure that Body Worn video is always deployed at attendances regarding possible neglect, to ensure the conditions are captured.		Police	46%					
Health	8	Quarterly Professional Meetings are attended by all Named Nurses and have NEGLECT as a standing item on the agenda		CCGs						
All partners	9	Number of Day in my life used		All partners to report on use						

Agency	RESPOND				Performance Tables					
	Ref	Neglect Measures (SB)	Performance Improvement Plan Ta	Source of data	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	RAG rating	Direction of travel
Police	10	Police to ensure that when neglectful circumstances are recognised and judged to present a significant risk, they consult with SIU and supervision, and take positive action.		Police: SIU supervisors to monitor when receiving referrals and report in to Neglect Working Group. (Dave Payne/Jane Wooderson)	75%					
Health	11	CCG supervision of providers shows that provider organisations are using the correct agreed partnership tool , are using Chronologies effectively and making referrals (relating to NEGLECT) appropriately.		Jenny Whyte (CCGs)						
Children's services	12	% and number of CIN plans where neglect is a feature (open over 12 months)	To be agreed	Children's services	47/618 7.6%	59/630 9.4%	57/614 9.3% Apr-20			↓
Children's services	13	Number and % of repeat CP plans for Neglect in the last 3 years	To be agreed	Children's services	14/159 8.8%	21/163 12.9%	4/81 4.9% Apr-20			↑
Children's services	14	% and number of children that have been the subject of a repeat plan for neglect in the last 3 years and a completed neglect tool at the point of first review.	Target 70% by June 2020	Functionality activated in March 2020 - no quarterly data available						↔
Police	15	When repeated SCARF relating to poor living conditions are received by the SIU, consideration should be given to carrying out a joint visit to establish if there is evidence of offences such as neglect or indications of other offences that may not be apparent to patrol officers when attending incidents.		Police	50%					

Police	16	Neglect Referrals to Police: If the officer receiving the referral makes a decision for no further Police action, that decision should be subject of a review by an officer not below the rank of Detective Sergeant within the Safeguarding Investigations Unit.		Police	60%						
Children's services	17	Number and % of children with CP Plans for Neglect with the agreed partnership tool used by the 2nd review	Target: 40% by June 2020	Functionality activated in March 2020 - not quarterly data available							↔
Children's services	18	(excluding Children with disabilities) % and number of children on CIN plans for in excess of 12 months where there has been an updated assessment	Target: 40% June 2020; 70% September 2020	Children's services+B4	486/628 77.4%	484/648 74.7%	518/694 74.6% Apr-20				↓
<b>QUANTIFY</b>					<b>Performance Tables</b>						
Agency	Ref	Neglect Measures (SB)	OfSTED Improvement Plan Targets	Source of data	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	RAG rating	Direction of travel	
Children's services	19	Number of referrals where neglect is the presenting factor		Children's services	No data	350	82 Apr-20				
WSHFT	20	Number of consultations where neglect is a factor in acute services		Acute services							
Early Help	21	Number of early help plans where neglect		Early Help							

Children's services	22	Number of CFAs where neglect is a presenting factor		Children's services	434/2463 or 17.6 %	319/2179 or 14.6%	159/909 or 17.5% Apr-20			
Children's services	23	Number and % of CIN plans where neglect is a factor		Children's services	255/1176 or 21.7%	310/1181 or 32.7%	308/1223 or 25.2% Apr-20			
Children's services	24	Number and % of children on a CIN plan for neglect with a disability		Children's services	10/548 or 1.8%	10/533 or 1.9%	8/529 or 1.5% Apr-20			
Children's services	25	Number of children and % of children and young people on child protection plans due to neglect		Children's services	546/859 or 63.6.%	516/826 or 62.5%	511/842 or 60.7% Apr-20			
Children's services	26	Of these children aged unborn - 4 years		Children's services	183/546 or 33.5%	181/516 or 35.1%	192/511 or 37.6% Apr-20			
Children's services	27	Of these children aged 5 - 9 years		Children's services	156/546 or 28.6%	145/516 or 28.1%	141/511 or 27.6% Apr-20			
Children's services	28	Of these children aged 10 - 15 years		Children's services	178/546 or 33%	167/516 or 32.4%	152/511 or 29.7% Apr-20			
Children's services	29	Of these children aged 16 and over		Children's services	29/546 or 5.3%	23/516 or 4.5%	26/511 or 5.1% Apr-20			
Children's services	30	% of CIN plans with management oversight or supervision (in a 8 wk period) where the primary need code is neglect	Target: 90%	Children's services	212/252 84.1%	276/310 89.0%	284/308 92.2%			
Children's services	31	% of CP plan with management oversight or supervision (in a 8 wk period) where the primary need code is neglect		Children's services	423/458 92.4%	384/423 90.8%	427/455 93.4%			
Police	32	Staff to ensure that, where appropriate given the circumstances of the referral, the Voice of the Child is captured and evidenced.		Jane Wooderson. Measure monitored via Police Supervisors. Measure from Police Neglect Action Plan	65%					