

Consultation Response Summary

Objection/Comments	Comments from Director of Highways & Transport
<p><u>Resident of Brookhill Road</u></p> <p>I would be grateful if someone could contact me with reference to the above TRO proposal. I live on the corner of Calluna Drive and Brookhill road which would see my driveway which is the first on the right on Calluna Drive covered by double yellow lines. This poses a big problem to us as a family as we help to care for elderly disabled parents who are unable to walk more than a few steps. Currently the car can pull up in front of the drive and we can assist them to get into the house, however if you put the double yellow lines there this won't be possible. The parking area on your plan located further down the road will not be any help as this is too far for them to walk and also will be full up with the cars parked with Gatwick customers which is a big problem here. Please can someone discuss some options with us, something also to note, our house has single storey living and sleeping arrangements for disabled/elderly people so taking away appropriate parking for ease of care is very concerning.</p> <p>I have filled in the comment form but feel an e-mail is more appropriate to raise our concerns.</p>	<p>Local residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking at various locations. The statutory consultation process has been followed.</p> <p>Vehicles parking on Calluna Drive obstruct visibility and affect the free flow of traffic on a bend. The introduction of lengths of double yellow lines here will mean cars are not forced to overtake parked vehicles on a bend and drive on the wrong side of the road where it is unsafe to do so.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that some parking displacement may result, the proposed restrictions aim to reinforce Highway Code Rule 243 on appropriate parking. Parking capacity will still exist in the area and there is reasonable alternative parking in safer locations.</p> <p>Disabled persons displaying a Blue Badge have dispensation to park their vehicles on double yellow lines for up to 3 hours.</p> <p>Meeting with resident on site 24.05.18</p>
<p><u>Resident of Brookhill Road</u></p> <p>My concerns and reasons for opposing the implementation of planned parking restrictions are as follows:</p> <p>The proposal is based on incorrect information. In the years I have lived nearly opposite the end of Calluna Drive, I have never seen the parking on both sides of the road. Therefore the risk and danger described does not exist. Having a dog, I walk along the road at least twice a day every day, Parking occurs on the north side regularly, but I have never observed parking on the south side. On average there are between 2-4 cars parked on the stretch under consideration. In addition two larger vehicles are</p>	<p>Local residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking at various locations. The statutory consultation process has been followed. The local Parish Council requested restrictions on both sides of the road.</p> <p>Vehicles parking on a considerable length of Calluna Drive obstruct visibility and affect the free flow of traffic on a bend. The introduction of lengths of double yellow lines here will mean cars are not forced to overtake parked vehicles on a bend and drive on the wrong side of the road where it is unsafe to do so.</p>

Consultation Response Summary

<p>parked near the junction with Kitsmead, by the resident who lives opposite the junction. Cars will park up to the start of double yellow lines at the junction of Brookhill Road. This means cars can be quite close to the junction. If this is deemed dangerous, this could be addressed simply by extending the double yellow lines by a couple of metres.</p> <p>The proposal removes a local and amenity for those in Brookhill Road and creates a risk greater than it addresses. Brookhill Road, has in recent years become increasingly dangerous due to the volume and speed of vehicles, generally using it as a cut through to avoid the delays caused by traffic controls on the B2036 at Forge Wood. In addition, the use of heavy vehicles ignoring the nearby weight restriction has increased. In both cases, no enforcement takes place. As a result, the road is hazardous for pedestrians and for residents emerging from the drives. Of relevance to this consultation, it would be dangerous to park on Brookhill Road, so Calluna Drive offers a safer place for on street parking. The removal of this amenity will necessitate parking on Brookhill Road, despite the danger or parking further along Calluna Drive. Parking further down Calluna Drive will annoy local residents and result in parking opposite driveways and on a bend.</p> <p>Finally, if funds are available to address local traffic issues, this proposal does not address those of most local concern. This are the speed, size and volume of vehicles using Brookhill Road and Copthorne Bank. Failure to address this and spend money on an ill conceived proposal for Calluna Drive is a waste of public money and will only increase the dangers to and frustrations of local residents.</p>	<p>Whilst it is accepted that some parking displacement may result, the proposed restrictions aim to reinforce Highway Code Rule 243 on appropriate parking. Parking capacity will still exist in the area and there is reasonable alternative parking in safer locations.</p> <p>Enforcement of existing speed and weight restrictions lies outside the scope of this proposed TRO.</p>
---	--

Consultation Response Summary

<p><u>Resident of Calluna Drive</u></p> <p>I am one of 2 houses that are affected by yellow lines 1 putting lines down will only push airport parking deeper into the estate 2 I also will have nowhere to park my van 3 I have a disabled grandson so how will he visit me 4 the people that want these lines don't even live at the end of the road that is affected so if the lines went ahead the cars will still park but on their door step and they will be complaining again for more lines</p> <p>I do agree there is a problem that needs to be addressed so why not permit parking for residents only then this I think will work.</p>	<p>Local residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking at various locations. The statutory consultation process has been followed.</p> <p>Vehicles parking on Calluna Drive obstruct visibility and affect the free flow of traffic on a bend. The introduction of lengths of double yellow lines here will mean cars are not forced to overtake parked vehicles on a bend and drive on the wrong side of the road where it is unsafe to do so.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that some parking displacement may result, the proposed restrictions aim to reinforce Highway Code Rule 243 on appropriate parking. Parking capacity will still exist in the area and there is reasonable alternative parking in safer locations.</p> <p>New permit parking schemes for local residents, are no longer available because of reduction of local authority funding and limited budgets for the administration required to run such schemes.</p> <p>Meeting with resident on site 30.05.18</p>
---	---

Consultation Response Summary

<p><u>Resident of Calluna Drive</u></p> <p>We live at the entrance to Calluna Drive and totally object as we have two work vans that are parked outside our house and they will get broken into if moved elsewhere. We need that parking space airport parking does not interfere with this section. Listen to the people please who live in this part not the people who don't!</p>	<p>Local residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking at various locations. The statutory consultation process has been followed.</p> <p>Vehicles parking on Calluna Drive obstruct visibility and affect the free flow of traffic on a bend. The introduction of lengths of double yellow lines here will mean cars are not forced to overtake parked vehicles on a bend and drive on the wrong side of the road where it is unsafe to do so.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that some parking displacement may result, the proposed restrictions aim to reinforce Highway Code Rule 243 on appropriate parking. Parking capacity will still exist in the area and there is reasonable alternative parking in safer locations.</p>
--	--

Consultation Response Summary

	<p><u>Resident of Calluna Drive</u></p> <p>It is noticed the proposed lengths of double lines on both sides of Calluna Drive still leave a section for vehicles to park on the north side of Calluna Drive.</p> <p>Should high vehicles such as large SUV's or commercial van's continue to park in that section, as they do today, they will continue to present a danger to persons or traffic using the affected length of road and to facilitate the passage of traffic.</p> <p>Accordingly, I request the TRO be amended in order to prohibit any vehicles waiting at any time on the full lengths of both sides of Calluna Drive, between its junctions with Brookhill Road and Kitsmead.</p>	<p>Local residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking at various locations. The statutory consultation process has been followed.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that some parking displacement may result, the proposed restrictions aim to reinforce Highway Code Rule 243 on appropriate parking. Parking capacity will still exist in the area and there is reasonable alternative parking in safer locations.</p> <p>Some accommodation for resident & visitor parking is necessary. The presence of parking in suitable locations also provides a form of traffic calming and reduces speed in residential areas. The proposal will provide gaps in parking to help avoid conflict for two way traffic.</p>
--	---	--

Consultation Response Summary

	<p><u>Resident of Calluna Drive</u></p> <p>I support the proposal, however on the drawing it shows a white area. What does this mean, is parking still to be allowed in this area. If so then this negates any safety gain as the problem is caused by vehicles waiting to exit with cars entering. If any parking is allowed this risk will remain. I suggest the order covers the entire stretch of road from Brookhill Road to Kitsmead.</p>	<p>Local residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking at various locations. The statutory consultation process has been followed.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that some parking displacement may result, the proposed restrictions aim to reinforce Highway Code Rule 243 on appropriate parking. Parking capacity will still exist in the area and there is reasonable alternative parking in safer locations.</p> <p>Some accommodation for resident & visitor parking is necessary. The presence of parking in suitable locations also provides a form of traffic calming and reduces speed in residential areas. The proposal will provide gaps in parking to help avoid conflict for two way traffic.</p>
--	---	--