

Consultation Response Summary

Objection/Comments	Comments from Assistant Director (Highways Transport and Planning)
<p>Resident of Pondfield Road</p> <p>Believes the scheme is entirely unnecessary and appears to address a problem that does not exist. Asks if there is any evidence that the current position is creating any hazard for pedestrians or drivers, evidence that there are specific problems for emergency vehicles in this location that do not exist elsewhere throughout the Horsham area.</p> <p>Believes it is extremely unlikely that the proposed yellow lines in such a rural location will be enforced on a long term by police or traffic wardens. The yellow lines are likely to be disregarded by the locals - particularly at school drop off and pick up times.</p> <p>Believes the scheme is a knee-jerk reaction to a complaint without substance and a waste of valuable resources for absolutely no gain.</p>	<p>These proposals are community-led and the application for the advertised waiting prohibitions was backed by 44 residents of the affected roads, the Residents Association of one of them and three local educational establishments, all expressing concern about safety and congestion being compromised by the current parking conditions. Where such problems have been reported elsewhere in the Horsham area, the need for similar waiting prohibitions has been assessed and measures have been implemented where considered justified. Recent accident data provided by Sussex Police confirms that a child received serious injuries in a collision that occurred in Orchard Hill.</p> <p>These are not isolated yellow lines, as there are already waiting restrictions in Church Street and Tates Way. It is anticipated that double yellow line waiting prohibitions will be generally self-enforcing but, if they are disregarded, responsive and programmed enforcement can also be undertaken by Horsham District Council. Should road users consider it necessary, they may submit requests for enforcement via the district council's website. Horsham District Council were consulted and raised no objection to this proposal to add further restrictions in Rudgwick.</p> <p>The anticipated gains from this low-cost planned scheme are that it improves access to the affected roads and addresses an issue of local concern that has safety implications.</p>
<p>Resident of Queen Elizabeth Road</p> <p>About time as they'd been asking for this for the last 20+ years via parish and district council.</p> <p>Suggests the lines should be extended to cover the Tee junction QE Rd and Tates Way (a simple box junction would do it) and / or anywhere that the council had previously installed concrete bollards to</p>	<p>Formal TRO application was received by WSCC in February 2021.</p> <p>The function of box junction markings is to prevent obstruction by queuing traffic, not to prohibit waiting. These additional locations fall outside the scope of the current scheme, which addresses all the</p>

deter parking. Asks about corners of P-Anne and P-Margaret

Also asks when will they get a 20mph zone around the two schools?

sites where the local community had requested parking controls.

There are no current proposals to introduce a 20mph zone in Rudgwick.