

Appendix C - Unsuccessful Signalised Crossing Applications - 2019-2020

Local Member	Division	Parish	Scheme Name	Description	Approx Cost	District	Outcome of Assessment
Christian Mitchell	Broadbridge	Rudgwick	Church Street, Rudgwick	Provision of a signalised pedestrian crossing	£100,000	Horsham	Due to the pressures on the Highways revenue maintenance budgets, and the costs associated with maintaining controlled crossing facilities, we have undertaken an assessment to understand future use of a pedestrian crossing at this location. Unfortunately, this data has demonstrated that would not be enough use to the justify the costs.
James Walsh	Littlehampton East	Littlehampton	Sea Road, Littlehampton	Provision of a signalised pedestrian crossing	£40,000	Arun	Due to the pressures on the Highways revenue maintenance budgets, and the costs associated with maintaining controlled crossing facilities, we have undertaken an assessment to understand future use of a pedestrian crossing at this location. Unfortunately, this data has demonstrated there would not be enough use to justify the costs.
Jamie Fitzjohn	Chichester South	Hunston	B2145 Hunston signalised crossing	Signalised Crossing	£200,000	Chichester	Due to the pressures on the Highways revenue maintenance budgets, and the costs associated with maintaining controlled crossing facilities, we have undertaken an assessment to understand future use of a pedestrian crossing at this location. Unfortunately, this data has demonstrated there would not be enough use to justify the costs. However, we believe that that there may be an opportunity to improve crossing points at this location and we intend to explore these further with the community who submitted this request.
Roger Elkins	East Preston & Ferring	Rustington	Station Road Pedestrian crossing near Rustington Hall	Provision of a signalised pedestrian crossing. No particular location identified.	£100,000	Arun	Due to the pressures on the Highways revenue maintenance budgets, and the costs associated with maintaining controlled crossing facilities, we have undertaken an assessment to understand future use of a pedestrian crossing at this location. Unfortunately, this data has demonstrated there would not be enough use to justify the costs.
Sarah Sharp	Chichester South	Chichester	Bognor Road	Provision of a signalised pedestrian crossing	N/A	Chichester	Application proposals have been assessed and are not considered feasible for the location and site constraints.

West Sussex County Council uses pedestrian and vehicle data from potential crossing locations to calculate how difficult is for pedestrians to cross during gaps within the traffic. We use a nationally recognised formula and method that weights factors such as children, disabilities, and history of pedestrian casualties. The data from the busiest 4-hour period is used to calculate a score (a PV2 score) for a location and these scores are used to decide where crossings should be installed.

The reason we use a PV2 calculation to assess new crossing requests is to ensure a consistent and fair approach is applied across the County when justifying and prioritising the installation of new equipment on the highway. The cost of this equipment is expensive, approximately £100,000 k for a standard new crossing, with maintenance charges of approximately £1500 per year, plus equipment replacement at the end of its lifetime (typically 15-20 years depending on location). The County Council must make sure any crossings put in will be well used as the budget available to install and maintain them is limited.

West Sussex County Council Policy states a minimum score of 0.7 is required to justify the installation of new controlled crossing facilities.