SECTION 2

Appendix B - Community Highway Schemes - Rejected

Appendix B - Community Highway Schemes - Rejected						
Local Member	Division	Parish	Scheme Name	Description	District	Moderation Panel Comments
Andy McGregor	Lancing	Lancing	Kings Road, Lancing	Request for traffic calming	Adur	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.
Beccy Cooper	Worthing West	Worthing	Downview Road, Worthing	Request to install stop line at junction, and rumble strips	Worthing	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.
Charlotte Kenyon	Pulborough	West Chiltington	Monkmead Lane, West Chiltington	Request for traffic calming	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.
Christian Mitchel	Broadbridge	Capel	Horsham Road	Request to extend layby	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.
Bruce Forbes	Worth	Worth	Complex TRO - Several Roads	7.5 weight restrictions cross border with Surrey.	Mid Sussex	Community Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. We were unable to identify an appropriate proposal at this time.
Jacky Pendleton	Middleton on Sea ward	Middleton	Comet Corner / Worms Lane	Speed limit reduction	Arun	Application rejected as A259 is already subject to a route study with a view for a potential future capital scheme.
Jeremey Hunt	Goodwood	Chilgrove	B2141 Chilgrove Road Lavant	signage review and reduction in posted speed	Chichester	Community Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. It was felt that this may be better directed through public realm process for improvement to the existing signs and lines.
Kate O Kelly / Tom Richardson	Midhurst and Easebourne ward (boundary overlap)	Midhurst	North Street Midhurst	Road widening and other measures on Northmill Bridge	Chichester	Community Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence based on available casualty data and was not considered feasible
Sarah Sharp	Chichester South	Appledram	Dell Quay Road	Speed limit request and parking restrictions	Chichester	Applicant advised that proposal would be better directed via Community TRO process
Donna Johnson	Selsey	Selsey	Zebra Crossing	Request for a zebra crossing on Chichester Road.	Chichester	Community Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. We were unable to identify an appropriate proposal at this time.
John Charles	Felpham	Felpham	Firs Avenue, Traffic Calming	Request for humps	Arun	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not provide or demonstrate evidence of community or Local Member support.
John Milne	Riverside	Highwood	Highwood Traffic Issues	Crossing and Traffic Management works	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public. This scheme was moderated both with and without the requested signals. It scored better without the signals request but still did not achieve the threshold due to the scheme being poorly defined and with a cost that could potentially exceed the CHS limit
Joy Dennis	Pyecombe	Pyecombe	Cycle path improvements	A273 crossing and other improvements	Mid Sussex	Community Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. This proposal will be passed to the Local Transport Improvement Team for further consideration, seperate from the CHS process. An assessment will be undertaken, reviewing the proposals, to establish the priority against all other Local Transport Investment applications identified across the county. After this assessment and review is undertaken, a further update will be provided but it may be that the proposals are not considered a priority to be taken forward.
Katie Nagel	St Leonards Forest	Rusper	Newdigate Lane, Rusper	Request for 600m footway connecting residences to village centre along rural road	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public. This would involve significant land acquisition meaning not good a good value for money scheme.
Nigel Dennis	Horsham Hurst	Horsham	Comptons Lane, Horsham	Request for a pedestrian refuge island	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.
Nigel Jupp	Southwater & Nuthurst	Southwater	Horsham Bypass, Broadbridge Heath	Request for a bridge to be built over A24, and for a safety rail running from Hop Oast to town centre	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public. The very high cost would exceed the CHS process.
Paul Marshall	Storrington	Washington	Old London Road, Washington	Request to install acoustic fencing along A24	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.
Paul Marshall	Storrington	Ashington	London Road, Ashington	Request to remove speed humps from village centre	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.
Sarah Payne	Henfield	Henfield	High Street, Henfield	Request to resurface High Street with low-noise surfacing	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.
Sarah Payne	Henfield	Cowfold	Bolney Road, Cowfold	Request to replace ped refuge with signalised crossing, and to install connecting path	Horsham	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. It is not felt that a signalised crossing can be justified in terms of pedestrian demand and the path is to be constructed by the Parish Council on land within their control.
Sarah Sharp	Chichester South	North Mundham	Footway & Cycle path	New footway and cycle path alongside the B2166 Marsh Lane to Runcton Farm Shop	Chichester	Community Scheme has been reviewed but the cost of delivery will exceed the threshold for progression as a Community Highway Scheme. This proposal will be passed to the Local Transport Improvement Team for further consideration, separate from the CHS process. An assessment will be undertaken, reviewing the proposals, to establish the priority against all other Local Transport Investment applications identified across the county. After this assessment and review is undertaken, a further update will be provided but it may be that the proposals are not considered a priority to be taken forward.
Steve Hillier	Haywards Heath	Haywards Heath	Farlington Ave	Visibility Splay improvement	Mid Sussex	Community Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. This proposal will be passed to the Local Transport Improvement Team for further consideration, separate from the CHS process. An assessment will be undertaken, reviewing the proposals, to establish the priority against all other Local Transport Investment applications identified across the county. After this assessment and review is undertaken, a further update will be provided but it may be that the proposals are not considered a priority to be taken forward.
Steve Waight	Goring	Worthing	Barrington Road, Worthing	Request to restrict entry into Rosebery Ave, left- turn only exiting Rosebery on to Shaftesbury Ave	Worthing	The scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria threshold for progression under this process. The application did not score well due to lack of evidence of benefit to the wider general public.