

## West Sussex County Council

### Speed Limit Policy (2010)

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 The speed management strategy was adopted in August 2000 and amended in February 2002. The strategy included revised speed limit criteria, supported by Sussex Police, which modified the previous criteria adopted in 1993. Recent guidance from DfT in 2006 has been taken into account in updating the criteria to reflect current views on the setting and evaluation of speed limits. This policy includes criteria for the setting of speed limits. A key objective in the national document is to achieve compliance such that average (mean) speeds are within or close to the set limit.
- 1.2 Speed limit criteria are used for setting speed limits aimed at responding to speed limit violation and public concern about traffic speed, and contributing towards the overall strategy for speed management as part of the Road Safety Strategy within the West Sussex Transport Plan. The aim is to encourage consistency of setting speed limits throughout the County, to encourage understanding and compliance by drivers. The speed limit criteria incorporate two principal factors for assessment:
- traffic speed (speed assessment)
  - character of the route (route assessment)
- 1.3 Other factors to be taken into account are:
- the length of the route for the speed limit,
  - the rate of injury accidents along the route,
  - other means of intervention to improve safety.
- 1.4 The impact of the revised criteria will be to enable more appropriate speed limits where people live, particularly in rural villages, and where there are significant numbers of vulnerable road users\*, such as outside schools.
- 1.5 Speed limits should not be used to attempt to solve the problem of isolated hazards, such as a single road junction or reduced forward visibility such as a bend. The setting of speed limits should avoid departure from evidence based proposals leading to the introduction of inappropriate speed limits which are unlikely to be understood or complied with by drivers. This would result in increased numbers of drivers exceeding the posted speed limits, thereby breaking the law, and causing excessive resource implications for enforcement.

\*Note: Vulnerable road users include pedestrians (particularly children, the elderly and disabled), cyclists and equestrians.

- 1.6 **However County Councillors consider that not enough is being done to address the concerns of residents in villages. Therefore at the County Council meeting on 12 February 2010, Councillors voted to amend the policy. The decision was to:-**
- **promote the aim to have 30mph in all villages**
  - **remove the requirement to link the decision to actual speeds**
  - **give CLCs more scope and opportunities to recommend lower limits, and**

- **give priority to villages with an existing 40mph.**

1.7 **The following policy and criteria reflects the national guidance, except relating to villages with 40mph limits where the decision referred to in 1.6 above gives CLC the option to over-ride it in order to promote a 30mph limit.**

**2. Revised Criteria- Assessment**

2.1 Speed Assessment.

The average (mean\*\*) speeds appropriate for each speed limit are shown in Table 1. Note that the measurement of the existing average speed is rounded down to the nearest whole number before applying the specific criteria. (For example an average speed of 41.9 mph or less would qualify for a 40 mph limit).

Table 1 **Speed Assessment**

<b>Speed Limit</b>	60	50	40	30	20
Average Speed to be <b>below</b>	62	52	42	33	24

\*\*Note: The term "mean speed" is a statistical reference and to avoid being over technical the term "average speed" is used instead.

2.2 Route Assessment

The route assessment is attached as Table 2 below. Key features are:

- For a 20mph limit, existing average speeds should be within the criteria, or measures should be provided to ensure that the criteria are met for the new limit.
- For a 30mph limit there should be at least 30% of the route length with frontage development on both sides of the road, or 50% of the route length with frontage development on one side of the road. In villages this may be interpreted as at least 20 properties having direct, individual access along the route (within a length of 600m or 400m, see Route Length Assessment below).
- For a 40mph limit there should be some frontage and/or frequent bends, junctions or accesses with regular daily use indicating a degree of potential conflict along the route.
- For a 50 mph limit there is no specific requirement for frontage access. Routes would be of a rural or suburban nature with few vulnerable road users present.

2.3 Route Length Assessment

The recommended minimum route length for a speed limit is 600m. In exceptional circumstances this may be reduced to 400m, for example when considering a compact village location along a route, or where appropriate as a "buffer" length to provide a transition to a much lower limit. If a buffer length of intermediate limit is provided, the maximum recommended length is 800m. Where multiple changes of speed limit occur along a route, intermediate lengths should not be less than 600m. The objective should be to achieve a balance between providing reasonable consistency of speed limit

along the route and the need to encourage awareness of lower speed limits appropriate for key sections of the route where risks are higher.

## 2.4 Injury Accident Rate

Routes with persistently high numbers of injury accidents will continue to be assessed for speed management including lower speed limits where other measures alone are insufficient to improve road safety. The existing weighting system (3 for fatal, 2 for serious, and 1 for slight injury) will continue to be used in assessing the "weighted casualty rate per kilometre". Route lengths with the highest weighted casualty rates per kilometre will be given priority for consideration of lower speed limits. In addition the "risk rating", measured as the number of fatal and serious accidents per billion vehicle kilometres, will also be considered when assessing priorities for intervention.

## 3. Intervention and Application of the Criteria

3.1 If the assessment criteria are not directly met the following factors may be taken into account:

- When the frontage aspect of the route assessment criteria is not met, but the area is of a sensitive or special nature or where there is significant risk to vulnerable road users, and the speed assessment criterion is met, then a lower limit may be considered.
- When the speed assessment criterion has not been met, but the route assessment criteria are met, if associated engineering or other speed reducing measures can be implemented to bring down average speeds sufficient so that the speed assessment criterion is met then a lower limit can be implemented.

3.2 A site would meet the criteria for a speed limit if:

- the speed assessment criterion (Para. 2.1) is met; or
  - any necessary additional measures can be funded and implemented to ensure that the speed assessment criterion is met;
- and
- the route assessment criterion (Para. 2.2) is met;
- and
- the route length assessment criterion (Para. 2.3) is met.

3.3 Subject also to 3.2 above, a high casualty rate (see 2.4 above) would contribute to the justification of a lower limit of 50 mph, or exceptionally 40 mph, on rural roads.

3.4 **Due to the decision, referred to in 1.6 above, CLCs may promote a change from 40mph to 30mph in villages without associated engineering measures which would otherwise fall outside of these criteria.**

## 4. Advisory Limits

4.1 Advisory limits will only be used where formal (legal and enforceable) speed limits are not appropriate, or as part of a trial package of measures for speed

management purposes. All advisory limits will require specific Cabinet Member approval, and will usually be limited to the following:

- Temporary speed limits implemented for safety reasons in advance of a permanent formal speed limit;
- School safety zones, where advisory limits of 20mph or 30mph may be applied in association with appropriate safety zone signing;
- When used as warning signs for specific hazards, used in accordance with national guidance and as part of a road safety scheme.

4.2 Where advisory limits are applied the speed limit criteria may be relaxed from the values in Table 1 by the addition of 3mph to the normal values. (For example, an average speed of 26.9 would be the maximum for the assessment and application of a 20mph advisory limit.)

**March 2010**

Table 2 **Route Assessment**

<b>Speed limit / character of environment</b>	<b>Type and character of road and traffic composition</b>
20 mph Speed Limit or Zone	
Access and local distributor roads. Residential, housing estates, shopping streets or routes near schools may be considered.	Either engineering measures have been undertaken to ensure that the average speed will be below 24 mph or the existing conditions control speed sufficiently. High proportion of vulnerable road users*.
30 mph Speed Limit	
Built up / partially built up areas. Properties with frontage access, e.g. schools, private and commercial premises. Proportion of route length with frontage / access usually exceeding 30% on both sides of the road, or 50% on one side of the road. May include less developed lengths between 30 limits which are too short for a higher limit.	(i) Urban streets. (ii) Roads through villages and identified rural settlements. Significant numbers of vulnerable road users*.
40 mph Speed Limit	
Partially built up areas with limited frontage access, or route lengths with frequent bends, junctions or accesses. May include undeveloped lengths between existing speed limits of 30 and 40, 40 and 40, or 40 and 50mph which are too short for a higher limit.	(i) Urban distributor roads. (ii) Roads through villages and identified rural settlements. (iii) Lengths of rural road identified as high risk and/or having high accident rates. A noticeable presence of vulnerable road users*.
50 mph Speed Limit	
Limited development and frontage access.	(i) Suburban or rural single carriageways. (ii) Suburban dual carriageways with frequent junctions, or frontage / development access. (iii) Lengths of strategic rural roads identified as having high accident rates. Few vulnerable road users*, or segregated crossing facilities, or controlled crossing facilities with appropriate speed management measures.
60 mph Speed Limit	
Limited development and frontage access.	(i) Suburban or rural single carriageways. (ii) Suburban dual carriageways with frequent junctions, or frontage / development access. Few vulnerable road users*, or segregated crossing facilities.

Note: \* Vulnerable road users include pedestrians (particularly children, the elderly and disabled), cyclists and equestrians.