### **Public Document Pack**

### **West Sussex County Council – Annual Meeting**

### 5 April 2019

At the Annual Meeting of the County Council held at 10.30 am on Friday, 5 April 2019, at the County Hall, Chichester, the members present being:

### Mrs Duncton (Chairman)

Mr Acraman Mrs Arculus Lt Cdr Atkins, RD Mr Baldwin Mr Barling Mr Barnard Mr Barrett-Miles Lt Col Barton, TD Mrs Bennett Mr Boram Mr Bradbury Mr Bradford Mrs Bridges Mrs Brunsdon Mr Burrett Mr Catchpole Mr Cloake Mr Crow Mrs Dennis Dr Dennis Mr Edwards Mr Elkins Mr Fitzjohn Ms Flynn Ms Goldsmith Mr High Mr Hillier Mr Hunt

Mr Jupp Ms Kennard Mrs Kitchen Mr Lanzer Mr Lea Ms Lord Mr Markwell Mr Marshall Mr McDonald Mrs Millson Mr Mitchell Mr Montyn Mr R J Oakley Mr S J Oakley Dr O'Kelly Mr Oppler Mr Parikh Mr Patel

Mrs Pendleton
Mr Purchese
Mrs Purnell
Mr Quinn
Mrs Russell
Mr Simmons
Mr Smytherman
Mrs Sparkes
Mr Turner
Mrs Urquhart
Mr Waight

Dr Walsh, KStJ, RD

### 1 Election of Chairman

Mrs Jones, MBE

Mr Jones

Mrs Jupp

- 1.1 Mr Barnard, the outgoing Chairman of the Council, took the chair for the election of Chairman of the County Council.
- 1.2 The outgoing Chairman stated that he had two nominations for the office of Chairman of the Council Mrs Janet Duncton and Mrs Morwen Millson. Following a secret ballot, Mrs Duncton was elected Chairman of the Council for the ensuing year.

1.3 Mrs Duncton made the prescribed declaration of acceptance of office and took the chair.

### 2 Election of Vice-Chairman

- 2.1 The Chairman stated that she had one nomination for the office of Vice-Chairman of the Council, that of Mr Ashvin Patel. Mr Patel was elected Vice-Chairman of the Council for the ensuing year.
- 2.2 Mr Patel made the prescribed declaration of acceptance of office.

### 3 Death of Mrs Sylvia Olliver

- 3.1 The Chairman reported the death of a former member of the Council, Mrs Sylvia Olliver, who had represented the Bersted division from 1991 to 2001.
- 3.2 Members stood for a minute's silence.

### 4 Apologies for Absence

4.1 Apologies were received from Mr Buckland, Mrs Hall, Mr Oxlade, Mr Petts, Mr Whittington and Mr Wickremaratchi. Mrs Smith was absent. Apologies for the morning session were received from Mr Mitchell. Mrs Bridges arrived at 10.45 a.m. Apologies for the afternoon session were received from Lt Col Barton, Mrs Brunsdon, Ms Flynn and Mr Lea. A number of members gave apologies and left early as follows: Mr Oppler at 10.50 a.m., Mr Simmons and Mrs Sparkes at 3.05 p.m., Mrs Dennis and Mr Hillier at 3.30 p.m. and Mr R J Oakley at 3.40 p.m. Mr Markwell was absent for the afternoon session and Mr Purchase left at 12.15 p.m. and was absent for the afternoon session. Mr Bradbury left at 3.30 p.m. and Mr Barrett-Miles at 3.50 p.m.

### 5 Members' Interests

5.1 Members declared interests as set out at Appendix 1.

### 6 Minutes

6.1 It was agreed that the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the County Council held on 15 February 2019 (pages 9 to 40) be approved as a correct record.

### 7 Review of Proportionality

- 7.1 The County Council was reminded of its statutory duty to review the proportionality on its committees annually. A paper on the application of the proportionality rules and how they were applied was set out at pages 41 and 42 together with a table showing the number of seats on committees.
- 7.2 Resolved -

That the review of proportionality on committees be agreed.

# 8 Notification of Appointment of Cabinet Members, Senior Advisers and Advisers to Cabinet Members

- 8.1 The County Council was reminded that the Leader was required each year to give notice to the Council of her appointments to the Cabinet and allocation of Cabinet portfolios between the Cabinet Members, together with the appointment of Senior Advisers and Advisers to Cabinet Members.
- 8.2 The Council noted that Ms Goldsmith had given notice to the County Council of her appointments for the ensuing year, as set out on supplement pages 1 to 5.

### 9 Appointments

- 9.1 A schedule setting out the nominations for the re-appointment of the chairmen, vice-chairmen and members of Select Committees and non-Executive committees and substitutes was circulated.
- 9.2 The schedule was agreed, as set out at Appendix 2.

### 10 Motion on Climate Change

10.1 At the County Council meeting on 15 February 2019 the following motion had been moved by Mr Jones, seconded by Mr Oxlade, and referred to the Cabinet Member for Environment for consideration. A report by the Cabinet Member was included with the agenda (page 43).

This Council notes that humans have caused climate change, the impacts of which are being felt around the world. Global temperatures have already increased by 1 degree Celsius from preindustrial levels. Atmospheric  $CO_2$  levels are above 400 parts per million (ppm). This far exceeds the 350 ppm deemed to be a safe level for humanity. In order to reduce the chance of runaway Global Warming and limit the effects of Climate Breakdown, it is imperative that all countries should reduce our carbon equivalent  $(CO_2\text{eq})$  emissions from their current 6.5 tonnes per person per year to less than 2 tonnes as soon as possible. Councils around the world are responding by declaring a 'Climate Emergency' and committing resources to address this.

This Council believes that all governments (national, regional and local) have a duty to limit the negative impacts of Climate Breakdown, and local governments that recognise this should not wait for their national governments to change their policies. It is important for the residents of West Sussex and the UK that cities commit to carbon neutrality as quickly as possible.

Councils like West Sussex are uniquely placed to lead the world in reducing carbon emissions – for example because of their capacity for local energy generation, such as running our own solar farms and promoting solar energy take up among local organisations and residents, supporting the greater use of electric powered vehicles both in the private and public sector and for personal use, and investing further in public transport.

West Sussex is already suffering from flooding problems, and a significant proportion of its population and a large number of its settlements are based in coastal areas which would potentially be devastated by a rise in sea levels caused by continual global warming. The consequences of global temperature rising above 1.5°C are so severe that preventing this from happening must be a number one priority, and bold climate action can deliver economic benefits in terms of new jobs, economic savings and market opportunities (as well as improved well-being for people worldwide).

This Council therefore calls on the Cabinet to:

- (1) Declare a 'Climate Emergency';
- (2) Pledge to attempt to make West Sussex carbon neutral by 2030, taking into account both production and consumption emissions;
- (3) Call on the Government to provide the powers and resources to make the 2030 target possible;
- (4) Work with other councils to determine and implement best practice methods to limit Global Warming to less than 1.5°C;
- (5) Continue to work with partners across the county and region to deliver this new goal through all relevant strategies and plans;
- (6) Set up a Task and Finish Group to look into the matter in greater detail;
- (7) Consider whether it would be advisable to take into account climate change impacts, when considering planning applications, or taking part in consultations, commenting on reports, plans and reviews put to the Council; and
- (8) Report to full Council within six months with the actions the Cabinet and Council will take to address this emergency.'
- 10.2 An amendment was moved by Mr Barling and seconded by Mrs Russell as set out below:

'This Council notes that humans have caused climate change, the impacts of which are being felt around the world. Global temperatures have already increased by 1 degree Celsius from pre-

industrial levels. Atmospheric  $CO_2$  levels are above 400 parts per million (ppm). This far exceeds the 350 ppm deemed to be a safe level for humanity **and diverse ecosystems**. In order to reduce the chance of runaway Global Warming and limit the effects of Climate Breakdown, it is imperative that all countries should reduce our carbon equivalent ( $CO_2$ eq) emissions from their current 6.5 tonnes per person per year to less than 2 tonnes as soon as possible. Councils around the world are responding by declaring a 'Climate Emergency' and committing resources to address this.

This Council has a strong reputation for responding positively to the challenge of climate change. Sustainability is being embedded into the day-to-day business of the Council, such as procurement, the capital programme and introducing electric vehicles into the fleet. An annual sustainability report is produced. The West Sussex Plan also has a strong focus on sustainability and includes targets for renewable energy, carbon reduction, air quality, sustainable transport, encouraging ultra-low emissions vehicles and waste reduction and recycling.

This Council believes that all governments (national, regional and local) have a duty to limit the negative impacts of Climate Breakdown, and local governments that recognise this should not wait for their national governments to change their policies. It is important for the residents of West Sussex and the UK that cities commit to carbon neutrality as quickly as possible.

Councils like West Sussex are uniquely placed to lead the world in reducing carbon emissions – for example because of their capacity for local energy generation, such as running our own solar farms and promoting solar energy take up among local organisations and residents, supporting the greater use of electric powered vehicles both in the private and public sector and for personal use, and investing further in public transport.

West Sussex is already suffering from flooding problems, and a significant proportion of its population and a large number of its settlements are based in coastal areas which would potentially be devastated by a rise in sea levels caused by continual global warming. The consequences of global temperature rising above 1.5°C are so severe that preventing this from happening must be a number one priority. , and Bbold climate action can deliver economic benefits in terms of new jobs, economic savings, and market opportunities and (as well as improved well-being for people worldwide).

This Council therefore calls on the Cabinet to:

(1) Note the call of the Campaign against Climate Change to dDeclare a 'Climate Emergency', show leadership to combat this climate urgency in West Sussex and commit to stepping up the work of the Council to

combat climate change and raise awareness of the issues and ways in which residents and businesses can contribute to mitigate climate change;

- (2) Pledge to attempt to make West Sussex *County Council* carbon neutral by 2030, taking into account both production and consumption emissions;
- (3) Call on the Government to provide the powers and resources to make the 2030 target possible;
- (4) **Continue to w**Work with **the Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and** other councils to determine and implement best practice methods to limit Global Warming to less than 1.5°C;
- (5) Continue to work with partners across the county and region to deliver this new goal through all relevant strategies, and plans and by encouraging behaviour change, including separation of food waste for collection and processing for energy generation;
- (6) Support the officers working group which is investigating ways of taking into account climate change impacts in all of the Council's policies and operations Set up a Task and Finish Group to look into the matter in greater detail; and
- (7) Consider whether it would be advisable to take into account climate change impacts, when considering planning applications, or taking part in consultations, commenting on reports, plans and reviews put to the Council; and
- (78) Revise the Council's reporting framework to highlight annually to full Council the actions taken by the Council to mitigate climate change and its wider environmental impact Report to full Council within six months with the actions the Cabinet and Council will take to address this emergency.'
- 10.3 The amendment was carried.
- 10.4 An amendment was moved by Ms Lord and seconded by Mrs Millson as set out below:

This Council notes that humans have caused climate change, the impacts of which are being felt around the world. Global temperatures have already increased by 1 degree Celsius from preindustrial levels. Atmospheric  $CO_2$  levels are above 400 parts per million (ppm). This far exceeds the 350 ppm deemed to be a safe level for humanity. In order to reduce the chance of runaway Global Warming and limit the effects of Climate Breakdown, it is imperative that all countries should reduce our carbon equivalent

 $(CO_2eq)$  emissions from their current 6.5 tonnes per person per year to less than 2 tonnes as soon as possible. Councils around the world are responding by declaring a 'Climate Emergency' and committing resources to address this.

This Council believes that all governments (national, regional and local) have a duty to limit the negative impacts of Climate Breakdown, and local governments that recognise this should not wait for their national governments to change their policies. It is important for the residents of West Sussex and the UK that cities commit to carbon neutrality as quickly as possible.

Councils like West Sussex are uniquely placed to lead the world in reducing carbon emissions – for example because of their capacity for local energy generation, such as running our own solar farms and promoting solar energy take up among local organisations and residents, supporting the greater use of electric powered vehicles both in the private and public sector and for personal use, and investing further in public transport.

West Sussex is already suffering from flooding problems, and a significant proportion of its population and a large number of its settlements are based in coastal areas which would potentially be devastated by a rise in sea levels caused by continual global warming. The consequences of global temperature rising above 1.5°C are so severe that preventing this from happening must be a number one priority, and bold climate action can deliver economic benefits in terms of new jobs, economic savings and market opportunities (as well as improved well-being for people worldwide).

This Council therefore calls on the Cabinet to:

- (1) Declare a 'Climate Emergency';
- (2) Pledge to attempt to make West Sussex *County Council* carbon neutral by 2030, taking into account both production and consumption emissions;
- (3) Call on the Government to provide the powers and resources to make the 2030 target possible;
- (4) Work with other councils to determine and implement best practice methods to limit Global Warming to less than 1.5°C;
- (5) Continue to work with partners across the county and region to deliver this new goal through all relevant strategies and plans;
- (6) Set up a Task and Finish Group to look into the matter in greater detail;
- (7) Consider the creation of a Voluntary Organisation Forum and a Citizens Assembly to examine and assess

- policy options and to facilitate and enable actions within our communities and by residents to contribute towards these goals; and
- (87) Consider whether it would be advisable to take into account Incorporate climate change impacts assessments into all decision and officer reports, plans and reviews put to the Council, including, when considering planning applications, or taking part in consultations, commenting on reports, plans and reviews put to the Council;
- (9) Incorporate responsibility for delivering against these goals into the portfolios of each Cabinet Member and Executive Director; and
- (108) Report to full Council within six months with the actions the Cabinet and Council will take to address this emergency and provide a progress report at six-monthly intervals thereafter.'
- 10.5 The amendment was lost.
- 10.6 The amended motion, as set out below, was agreed.

'This Council notes that humans have caused climate change, the impacts of which are being felt around the world. Global temperatures have already increased by 1 degree Celsius from preindustrial levels. Atmospheric  $CO_2$  levels are above 400 parts per million (ppm). This far exceeds the 350 ppm deemed to be a safe level for humanity and diverse ecosystems. In order to reduce the chance of runaway Global Warming and limit the effects of Climate Breakdown, it is imperative that all countries should reduce our carbon equivalent ( $CO_2$ eq) emissions from their current 6.5 tonnes per person per year to less than 2 tonnes as soon as possible. Councils around the world are responding by declaring a 'Climate Emergency' and committing resources to address this.

This Council has a strong reputation for responding positively to the challenge of climate change. Sustainability is being embedded into the day-to-day business of the Council, such as procurement, the capital programme and introducing electric vehicles into the fleet. An annual sustainability report is produced. The West Sussex Plan also has a strong focus on sustainability and includes targets for renewable energy, carbon reduction, air quality, sustainable transport, encouraging ultra-low emissions vehicles and waste reduction and recycling.

This Council believes that all governments (national, regional and local) have a duty to limit the negative impacts of Climate Breakdown, and local governments that recognise this should not wait for their national governments to change their policies. It is important for the residents of West Sussex and the UK that cities commit to carbon neutrality as quickly as possible.

Councils like West Sussex are uniquely placed to lead the world in reducing carbon emissions – for example because of their capacity for local energy generation, such as running our own solar farms and promoting solar energy take up among local organisations and residents, supporting the greater use of electric powered vehicles both in the private and public sector and for personal use, and investing further in public transport.

West Sussex is already suffering from flooding problems, and a significant proportion of its population and a large number of its settlements are based in coastal areas which would potentially be devastated by a rise in sea levels caused by continual global warming. The consequences of global temperature rising above 1.5°C are so severe that preventing this from happening must be a number one priority. Bold climate action can deliver economic benefits in terms of new jobs, economic savings, market opportunities and improved well-being for people worldwide.

This Council therefore calls on the Cabinet to:

- (1) Note the call of the Campaign against Climate Change to declare a 'Climate Emergency', show leadership to combat this climate urgency in West Sussex and commit to stepping up the work of the Council to combat climate change and raise awareness of the issues and ways in which residents and businesses can contribute to mitigate climate change;
- (2) Pledge to attempt to make West Sussex County Council carbon neutral by 2030;
- (3) Call on the Government to provide the powers and resources to make the 2030 target possible;
- (4) Continue to work with the Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and other councils to determine and implement best practice methods to limit Global Warming to less than 1.5°C;
- (5) Continue to work with partners across the county and region to deliver this new goal through all relevant strategies, plans and by encouraging behaviour change, including separation of food waste for collection and processing for energy generation;
- (6) Support the officers working group which is investigating ways of taking into account climate change impacts in all of the Council's policies and operations; and
- (7) Revise the Council's reporting framework to highlight annually to full Council the actions taken by the Council to mitigate climate change and its wider environmental impact.'

### 11 Motion on Government Cuts to the Public Health Budget

11.1 At the County Council meeting on 15 February 2019 the following motion had been moved by Dr Walsh, seconded by Dr O'Kelly, and referred to the Cabinet Member for Adults and Health for consideration. A report by the Cabinet Member was included with the agenda (pages 45 and 46).

'The Council notes the vital role played by Public Health, including our hugely successful vaccination and immunisation programmes, support for those wanting to stop smoking, and otherwise helping West Sussex residents to lead healthier lives by avoiding diseases and unplanned pregnancies; and notes with grave concern the announcement of a further £85m cut to the Public Health Budget, as one of 12 ministerial statements published by the Government on the last day of the 2018 parliamentary term before Christmas, only weeks after the Secretary of State for Health described 'prevention' as his priority.

The Council further notes the comments of the Health Foundation, who described these cuts as a false economy and who have calculated that an additional £3bn a year is required to reverse the impact of the Government's cuts to the Public Health Grant to date and have called for this increased budget to be allocated according to need; and the warnings from the King's Fund that such cuts could put pressure on councils to cut non-statutory sexual health prevention services, which could lead to more sexually transmitted infections and unplanned pregnancies.

This Council believes that our Public Health team perform vital work to help keep the residents of West Sussex healthy and to avoid more costly admissions to hospitals and other interventions by our NHS, and that this should be properly funded by central government.

### The Council resolves to:

- (1) Thank our Director of Public Health and her team for the great work they do across West Sussex despite continued financial challenges;
- (2) Condemn the timing just before the Christmas break to 'sneak out' announcements such as this;
- (3) Call on the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Adults and Health to consider carefully how best to implement the required cuts to services which will result from continued government cuts to the budget; and
- (4) Ask the Leader and Cabinet Member to write to the Secretary of State for Health, calling on the Government to deliver increased investment in Public Health and to support a sustainable health and social care system by taking a

'prevention first' approach, and fair and equitable funding for West Sussex.'

11.2 An amendment was moved by the Cabinet Member for Adults and Health and seconded by Mrs Jones as set out below:

'The Council notes the vital role played by Public Health, including our hugely successful vaccination and immunisation programmes, support for those wanting to stop smoking, and otherwise helping West Sussex residents to lead healthier lives by avoiding diseases and unplanned pregnancies.; and notes with grave concern Tthe announcement of a further £85 million cut to the Public Health Budget in 2019/20, as one of 12 ministerial statements published by the Government on the last day of the 2018 parliamentary term before Christmas, was in line with national cuts to local government public health functions over the last five consecutive years. This is particularly challenging in West Sussex due to its vast geography, scale and range of deprivation across the county only weeks after the Secretary of State for Health described 'prevention' as his priority.

The Council further notes the comments of the Health Foundation, who described these cuts as a false economy and who have calculated that an additional £3 billion a year is required to reverse the impact of the Government's cuts to the Public Health Grant to date and have called for this increased budget to be allocated according to need; and the warnings from the King's Fund that such cuts could put pressure on councils to cut non-statutory sexual health prevention services, which could lead to more sexually transmitted infections and unplanned pregnancies.

This Council believes that our Public Health team perform vital work to help keep the residents of West Sussex healthy and to **which** avoids more costly admissions to hospitals and other interventions by **the** our NHS, and that this should be properly funded by central government.

This was endorsed by the Chief Executive of Public Health England, Duncan Selbie, following his visit to West Sussex on 21 January 2019, when he said: "It is frankly inspiring to hear of the positioning of the public's health and community engagement at the heart of everything you do – the Council, Boroughs and NHS together, with public health expertise embedded across the whole of the Council".

The Council resolves to:

(1) Thank our Director of Public Health and her team for the great work they do across West Sussex despite *the* continued financial challenges;

- (2) **Express disappointment at** Condemn the timing just before the Christmas break to 'sneak out' announcements such as this;
- (3) Call on the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Adults and Health to consider carefully how best to implement **any necessary changes** the required cuts to services which will result from continued government cuts to the budget; and
- (4) Ask the Leader and Cabinet Member to write to the Secretary of State for Health, calling on the Government to deliver increased investment in Public Health and to support a sustainable health and social care system by taking a 'prevention first' approach, and fair and equitable funding for West Sussex.'
- 11.3 The amendment was accepted and was carried.
- 11.4 The amended motion, as set out below, was agreed.

'The Council notes the vital role played by Public Health, including our hugely successful vaccination and immunisation programmes, support for those wanting to stop smoking, and otherwise helping West Sussex residents to lead healthier lives by avoiding diseases and unplanned pregnancies. The announcement of a further £85 million cut to the Public Health Budget in 2019/20, as one of 12 ministerial statements published by the Government on the last day of the 2018 parliamentary term before Christmas was in line with national cuts to local government public health functions over the last five consecutive years. This is particularly challenging in West Sussex due to its vast geography, scale and range of deprivation across the county.

The Council further notes the comments of the Health Foundation, who described these cuts as a false economy and who have calculated that an additional £3 billion a year is required to reverse the impact of the Government's cuts to the Public Health Grant to date and have called for this increased budget to be allocated according to need; and the warnings from the King's Fund that such cuts could put pressure on councils to cut non-statutory sexual health prevention services, which could lead to more sexually transmitted infections and unplanned pregnancies.

This Council believes that our Public Health team perform vital work to help keep the residents of West Sussex healthy which avoids more costly admissions to hospitals and other interventions by the NHS, and that this should be properly funded by central government.

This was endorsed by the Chief Executive of Public Health England, Duncan Selbie, following his visit to West Sussex on 21 January 2019, when he said: "It is frankly inspiring to hear of the

positioning of the public's health and community engagement at the heart of everything you do – the Council, Boroughs and NHS together, with public health expertise embedded across the whole of the Council".

### The Council resolves to:

- (1) Thank our Director of Public Health and her team for the great work they do across West Sussex despite the continued financial challenges;
- (2) Express disappointment at the timing just before Christmas;
- (3) Call on the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Adults and Health to consider carefully how best to implement any necessary changes to services which will result from continued government cuts to the budget; and
- (4) Ask the Leader and Cabinet Member to write to the Secretary of State for Health, calling on the Government to deliver increased investment in Public Health and to support a sustainable health and social care system by taking a 'prevention first' approach, and fair and equitable funding for West Sussex.'

# 12 Motion on extending the vote to 16 and 17-year-olds in local and national elections

12.1 The following motion was moved by Dr Walsh and seconded by Mr Jones.

'This Council believes that young people at the age of 16 and 17 are well equipped to engage and participate in all elections across the UK as endorsed by the majority of County Councillors and Youth Cabinet members who took part in a debate on lowering the voting age in September 2018. Every 16-year-old receiving school education will have completed citizenship classes. Therefore, lowering the voting age to 16, combined with strong citizenship education, empowers young people to better engage in society and influence decisions that will define their future.

16 and 17-year-olds have been denied the chance to influence decisions made by politicians about issues that massively affect their lives, such as tuition fees, the EU referendum, transport and the living wage. Also, the impact that many local services have on young people are provided by local government, such as education, social care and leisure. As a starting point, we would like to see the voting age lowered in West Sussex elections first, followed by national elections. We believe that allowing 16 and 17-year-olds to vote in selected parts of the UK and not others is unjustified. The Scottish Government passed the Scottish Elections (Reduction of Voting Age) Bill, which allows all 16 and 17-year-olds to vote in all Scottish elections from May 2016. Young people's participation in

the Scottish Referendum demonstrates that they are eager to engage as 75% of 16 and 17-year-olds turned out to vote.

Lowering the voting age to 16 complement the law as, at 16, the law allows a person to:

- Give full consent to medical treatment
- Pay income tax and National Insurance
- Obtain tax credits and welfare benefits in their own right
- Consent to sexual relationships
- Get married or enter a civil partnership, with parental consent
- Change their name by deed poll
- Become a director of a company
- Serve in the armed forces but not deployed on the front line

The Council therefore calls on the Governance Committee to:

- (1) Support the proposals to allow 16 and 17-year-olds to vote in local West Sussex elections, followed at a future time by national elections; and
- (2) Ask local MPs and the Government to support the proposals to allow 16 and 17-year-olds to vote in local West Sussex elections, followed at a future time by national elections.
- 12.2 The motion was put to a recorded vote under Standing Order 35.5.
  - (a) For the motion 29

Mr Acraman, Mr Baldwin, Mr Barling, Mr Boram, Mr Catchpole, Dr Dennis, Mrs Duncton, Mr Elkins, Ms Goldsmith, Mr Hillier, Mrs Jones, Mr Jones, Mrs Jupp, Mr Jupp, Ms Lord, Mr Marshall, Mrs Millson, Mr Mitchell, Mr R J Oakley, Dr O'Kelly, Mr Patel, Mrs Pendleton, Mrs Purnell, Mr Quinn, Mrs Russell, Mr Smytherman, Mrs Urquhart, Mr Waight and Dr Walsh.

(b) Against the motion – 24

Mrs Arculus, Lt Cdr Atkins, Mr Barrett-Miles, Mrs Bennett, Mr Bradbury, Mr Bradford, Mrs Bridges, Mr Burrett, Mr Cloake, Mr Crow, Mrs Dennis, Mr Fitzjohn, Mr High, Mr Hunt, Ms Kennard, Mrs Kitchen, Mr Lanzer, Mr McDonald, Mr Montyn, Mr S J Oakley, Mr Parikh, Mr Simmons, Mrs Sparkes and Mr Turner.

(c) Abstentions – 2

Mr Barnard and Mr Edwards.

12.3 The motion was carried.

# 13 Governance Committee: Corporate Parenting Panel Terms of Reference

- 13.1 The Council considered changes to the terms of reference of the Corporate Parenting Panel, in the light of a report from the Governance Committee (pages 47 to 52).
- 13.2 The Chairman explained that the anticipated Ofsted report into Children's Services and the outcome of consultation with other partners might lead to a need to make some adjustments and she therefore sought Council's agreement to allow the Director of Children and Family Services to make any necessary amendments to the Panel's terms of reference in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People. Any changes would be reported in the Members' Information Service newsletter.

### 13.3 Resolved -

- (1) That the new terms of reference and membership of the Corporate Parenting Panel, as set out at Appendix 1 to the report, be approved; and
- (2) That the Director of Children and Family Services be authorised to make any necessary amendments to the Panel's terms of reference as a result of the recent Ofsted inspection, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People, any such changes to be reported in the Members' Information Service newsletter.

### 14 Question Time

14.1 Members asked questions of members of the Cabinet on matters relevant to their portfolios and asked questions of chairmen, as set out at Appendix 4. This included questions on those matters contained within the Cabinet report (pages 53 to 56) and a supplementary report (supplement page 1) and written questions and answers pursuant to Standing Order 2.38 (set out at Appendix 3).

### 15 West Sussex Armed Forces Covenant

- 15.1 The Council considered a report by the Cabinet Member for Safer, Stronger Communities on the West Sussex Armed Forces Covenant (pages 57 and 58).
- 15.2 Resolved -

That the report be noted.

Chairman

The Council rose at 4.30 pm

### **Interests**

Members declared interests as set out below. All the interests listed below were personal but not pecuniary or prejudicial unless indicated.

Item	Member	Nature of Interest	
Item 10(a) – Notice of Motion on Climate Change	Mr Boram	Member of Adur District Council	
	Mr Jones	Member of Crawley Borough Council	
	Mr Lea	Undertaking consultancy work in Artificial Intelligence	
	Mr Simmons	Executive Member for Health and Wellbeing at Adur District Council	
Item 10(b) – Notice of Motion on Public Health	Mr Jones	Member of Crawley Borough Council	
	Mr Turner	Member of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain	
Item 12 – QT relating to future library at Burgess Hill	Mr Barrett-Miles	Member of Burgess Hill Members' Steering Committee for the Growth Plan for Burgess Hill and Burgess Hill Town Council	
Item 12 – QT relating to highways	Lt Col Barton	Vice-Chairman of Adur District Council	
Item 12 – QT pensions items	Mr Burrett	Deferred member of the Loca Government Pension Scheme	
	Mr Lanzer	Deferred member of the Local Government Pension Scheme	
Item 12 – QT Motion To Crawley Borough Council Re Integrated Prevention And Earliest Help budget	Mr Jones	Member of Crawley Borough Council	
Item 12 – QT relating to children's services	Mr Smytherman	Governor of West Sussex Alternative Provision College	

Item	Member	Nature of Interest	
Item 12 – QT relating to capital programme and investment property opportunities	Mrs Sparkes	Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources at Worthing Borough Council	
Item 12 – QT All items	Mr Bradbury	Member of Mid Sussex District Council and Trustee of Sussex Learning Trust	
	Mrs Jones	Member of Mid Sussex District Council and Burgess Hill Town Council	
	Mr Quinn	Member of Crawley Borough Council	
Item 13 – Armed Forces Covenant	Lt Cdr Atkins	A veteran from the Royal Navy and Vice-Chair of Worthing Royal Naval Association	
	Mr Barling	Member of a military charity	
	Mr Bradbury	Chairman of Building Heroes Education Foundation	
	Mr Jones	Member of Crawley Borough Council	

# Nominations for Committees - April 2019 (excluding non-Council members)

Performance and Finance Select Committee (15)

Health and Adult Social Care Select Committee Lt Cdr Atkins **Mr Boram** Mrs Bridges Ms Flynn Mrs Arculus Dr O'Kelly Mr Petts Mr Turner Dr Walsh Mrs Smith Mrs Jones (12)(ch) (V-ch) Environment, Communities and Fire Select Committee *Mr Barling Mr Barnard*Mr Barrett-Miles
Lt Col Barton *Mr Jupp* Mr McDonald *Mr R J Oakley* Mr S J Oakley Mrs Bridges Mr Jones (V-Ch) (ch) Children and Young People's **Mrs Russell** Mr Wickremaratchi Services Select Committee (12) Mrs Bennett Mrs Bridges Mr Cloake Ms Flynn Mrs Hall Mrs Jones Ms Lord Mr Petts Mr High (V-Ch) (Ch)

Mr Barrett-Miles Mr Catchpole Mr Cloake Mr Crow Mr Edwards Mr Fitzjohn Mr Lea Mr Montyn Mr Smytherman Mrs Sparkes Mr Turner Mr Waight Dr Walsh 1 Con vacancy Mrs Kitchen Substitutes: 1 vacancy Mr Jones Ms Lord (V-Ch) (Ch)

1 Con vacancy

1 Con vacancy

Mr Smytherman 1 Con vacancy

Mr Oxlade

Mr Quinn Dr Walsh

Mr Jones Mr Oppler

Mr Wickremaratchi

Substitutes:

Substitutes: Mr Purchese

Substitutes:

1 vacancy

Mr Oppler



Changes shown in bold, italic text.

# Nominations for Committees – April 2019 (excluding non-Council members)

Standards Committee (9)	Mr Barnard Lt Col Barton Mr Buckland (Ch) Mrs Duncton Mrs Jupp Mr Babel Mr Smytherman Mrs Sparkes	Corporate Parenting Panel (7 6)	Mrs Hall  Mrs Jones  Mrs Jones  Mrs Milson  Mr Oxlade  Mr Simmons
Governance Committee (9)	Mr Acraman Mr Burrett Ms Goldsmith Mr Lanzer Mr Mitchell Mr Mitchell Jr Vacancy  Treasury Management Panel (Ch) Mr Bradford Mrs Dennis Mr Bradford Mrs Dennis (Ch) Mr Hunt Dr O'Kelly Mrs Sparkes Reserve member: Mr Fitzjohn	Foster Panels (3x1)	North Foster Panel (1) Mrs Arculus South East Foster Panel (1) Mrs Hall South West Foster Panel (1) Mrs Pendleton
Regulation, Audit and Accounts Committee (7)	Mr Bradford Mrs Dennis (Ch) Dr Dennis Mr Jupp Mr Lea Mrs Pendleton (V-Ch) Mr Waight (S) Mr Elkins (Ch) Mr Hunt Mr Jupp Ms Goldsmith Mr Jupp Ms Goldsmith Mr Smytherman	Adoption Panels (2)	Mrs Flynn Mr Oppler
Rights of Way Committee (9)	Mr Acraman Mr Baldwin Mr Baram (V-Ch) Mr Bradbury Dr O'Kelly Mrs Purnell Mr Quinn Mrs Russell (Ch) Mr Whittington Substitutes: Mrs Arculus Lt Col Barton Mrs Brunsdon Mrs Brunsdon Mrs Brunsdon Mrs S J Oakley Mr Oxlade Mr Patel Mrs Pendleton	Safeguarding Adults Member Reference Group (3)	(Ch) Mrs Jupp Dr O'Kelly Mrs Smith
Planning Committee (13)	Lt Cdr Atkins Mr Barrett-Miles Lt Col Barton Mr Crow Mr Jupp Mr Stitchen Mr McDonald Mr McDonald Mr McDonald Mr S J Oakley Mr Mr Sussell Mr Simmons Substitutes:  Mr Acraman Mr Barling Mr High Mr Jones 2 Con vacancies		

### 5 April 2019

1. Written question from **Mr Oxlade** for reply by the **Cabinet Member for Children and Young People** 

### Question

In September 2017 the free childcare entitlement was extended to 30 hours per week for eligible working parents of three and four-year-olds. I understand that since this was introduced nursery closures nationally have increased by two thirds with providers struggling due to inadequate sustainable funding.

I would be grateful if the Cabinet Member could:

- (a) Tell me what percentage of nursery settings in the county offer the entitlement?
- (b) Confirm what capacity exists within nursery settings to offer the entitlement in the event a setting was to close, and whether there are any particular 'hot spots' where capacity is limited or not available (if so, where)?
- (c) Tell me how many nursery settings in West Sussex have closed since September 2017 and whether he is aware of any further settings who have given notice of proposed closure or at risk of closure?
- (d) Confirm the base rate payable to nursery settings per hour per eligible three and four-year-old child with effect from 1 April 2019; and
- (e) Confirm the extent to which any plans are being made in respect of supporting nursery schools beyond 2020 in the absence of any guarantee of continued funding by the Government.

### **Answer**

- (a) 73% of all early years settings offer Free Entitlement for three and fouryear-old funding.
- (b) Capacity is monitored termly, based on the information provided by the early years settings. The latest data we have is for Autumn 2018 and this identifies that the availability of early years places (termed the vacancy rate) is as follows:

In Adur and Worthing, the vacancy rate is 30.4%. The Lancing and Durrington areas are being monitored as areas where there is potential for gaps in childcare sufficiency.

In Arun, the vacancy rate is 24.8%. The Angmering and Arundel areas are being monitored as areas where there is potential for gaps in childcare sufficiency.

In response to the identification of potential gaps in childcare sufficiency

the County Council directs new and potential childcare providers and those wishing to expand to those areas. However, whilst a potential gap has been identified this does not necessarily mean that there is demand and the County Council encourages all new and potential providers to carry out additional market research.

In Chichester, the vacancy rate is 25.9%.

In Crawley, the vacancy rate is 27.8%.

Potential hotspots for childcare have been identified across Crawley due to development of new properties. This is being monitored and the team are working with potential providers to address this.

In Horsham, the vacancy rate is 28.3%.

In Mid Sussex, the vacancy rate is 21.8%.

Across West Sussex there are a growing number of large housing developments being created. Local teams are working with developers and existing providers to ensure there is sufficient childcare for families moving to these homes. There is also an ongoing focus in increasing the number of childminders offering government funded places to meet the needs of the free entitlement and extended entitlement across the county.

- (c) 167 settings (including childminders, pre-schools and day nurseries) have closed in West Sussex since September 2017. Of these, 14 were day nurseries/pre-schools. We are not aware of any settings that have given notice of proposed closure or at risk of closure. In this period, 92 new settings have opened (42 pre-schools and day nurseries and 50 childminders.
- (d) The base rate payable is £4.42.
- (e) There is no resource available to mitigate the funding gap. However, the local authority is meeting regularly with the heads of the maintained nursery schools to offer advice and discuss potential options.
- 2. Written question from Mrs Millson for reply by the Cabinet Member for Education and Skills

### Question

Can the Cabinet Member please update the Council on the situation regarding the allocation of secondary school places for children starting Secondary School in September? Specifically, can the Cabinet Member clarify, by district:

- (a) How many children have not been allocated to their parents' first choice school?
- (b) How many children have not been allocated to any of their parents' choices?

(c) How many Horsham children are still expected to attend schools in Crawley? Does the Cabinet Member intend to make special travel arrangements for these children, or will they be expected to use public transport, even if this may involve one or more changes of service?

### **Answer**

As questions 2 and 3 relate to the same matter, a combined response has been provided – see answer to question 3 below.

3. Written question from **Mr Quinn** for reply by the **Cabinet Member for Education and Skills** 

### Question

I understand parents of current Year 6 pupils across the county were informed of their child's allocation of a secondary school place on 1 March 2019. There has been coverage in the local media about some pupils who will have to travel from Horsham District to Crawley from September, which for some will be a daily 30-mile round trip.

I am given to believe that the proposed opening of the new Bohunt Free School in Horsham from September has meant some parents have been offered a place at Bohunt as well as a place for another West Sussex school. Given that those parents had to have chosen one of the duplicated places by 29 March 2019, can the Cabinet Member please:

- (a) Provide a breakdown of the number of students who were not allocated a place at one of their three preferred schools for each District and Borough;
- (b) Tell me how many students it is anticipated will have to attend a school in another District or Borough from the one they applied;
- (c) How many parents have chosen to exercise their right of appeal because they have not been allocated a place in one of their three preferred schools;
- (d) Confirm whether additional resources will be required to deal with the appeals; and
- (e) Confirm the estimated additional school transport costs for those pupils starting school in September who live more than three miles away from their allocated school.

### Answer to questions 2 and 3

All children whose parents applied for a secondary school place were offered a school place. This year the Admissions Team processed a total of 9,099 applications, an increase of nearly 400 from 2018.

In response to Mrs Millson's first two questions, the vast majority of applicants (96.5%) were offered a place at one of their three preferred schools, with 84.2%

given their first preference. This equates to 322 children not being offered one of their three preferences and 1,437 not being in receipt of their first preference. The team does not aggregate the data on a district and borough basis so it is not possible to provide a breakdown of this information by district and borough area.

Information for the Horsham Community Designated Area in relation to parents who applied for places at the new Bohunt School, Horsham is as follows:

- (a) At allocation on 1 March, 16 children living within the Horsham Community Designated Area were not offered a place at one of their three preferred schools.
- (b) The Admissions Team is in the process of offering all 16 children a Horsham school from the 70+ places that have been released by parents whose child will attend the new Bohunt School, Horsham. Therefore, no child from the Horsham Community Designated Area will have to attend a school in another district or borough from the one within which they applied for.
- (c) No appeals will progress for parents of children within the Horsham Community Designated Area because they have not been allocated a place at a preferred school.
- (d) There will be no additional appeal costs because all children from within the Horsham Community Designated Area will shortly be offered a preference school.
- (e) There will be no additional transport costs because all children from within the Horsham Community Designated Area who want a Horsham school will shortly be offered one.
- 4. Written question from **Mrs Smith** for reply by the by the **Cabinet Member** for **Education and Skills**

### Question

The Cabinet Member will no doubt be aware that head teachers across West Sussex wrote to thousands of West Sussex parents in March this year updating them on the position regarding school funding. That letter told parents schools are still not being provided with adequate funding and resources to deliver the level of provision and support that is expected. The letter went on to say:

- Since 2010 school budgets have decreased in real terms by 8% and by 20% at post 16;
- Class sizes are rising and the curricular offer is being restricted;
- Increasing, schools are being asked to support children's emotional health and wellbeing; and
- Often the most vulnerable students in schools those from disadvantaged backgrounds or those with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND) are bearing the brunt of cuts and schools are struggling to provide the level of support they are entitled to.

Parents were also told that head teachers had written and requested meetings with the Secretary of State for Education; the request for a meeting has been declined on two occasions because diaries are full. As the letter points out, it is difficult to comprehend what issues could be more important than the ones they are raising on behalf of literally thousands of peoples in West Sussex and up and down the country.

Despite this situation the spring budget offered nothing more for the pupils of West Sussex.

Can the Cabinet Member please tell me:

- (a) What recent efforts he has been made to convey the dire funding situation for the pupils in West Sussex to Ministers and local MPs;
- (b) How many schools currently have licensed deficits and how much as a total that combined deficit represents;
- (c) The extent to which the number of schools requiring licensed deficits and the combined total have increased over the last three years;
- (d) Whether he expects the situation to improve for schools looking to set their 2019/20 budgets given that 50 schools have recently been identified through the recent three year budgeting exercise as not having plans in place to balance their budget next year; and
- (e) Whether he is confident the announcement that all secondary schools and colleges will provide female students with free sanitary products from September 2019 will not result in additional expenditure or resource implications for either this authority or schools and colleges across West Sussex.

### **Answer**

- (a) I can confirm that the need for sustainable funding is regularly raised with Ministers and MPs at all suitable opportunities.
- (b) 22 schools requested a licensed deficit for 2018/19, with the total estimated deficit of these schools at the end of the financial year expected to be £1.356m. Details are shown in the table below:

	2018/19 licensed deficit requests	Estimated deficit at 31 March 2019
Primary	16	£0.540m
Secondary	5	£0.596m
Special	1	£0.220m
Total	22	£1.356m

(c) By comparison, the number of schools requesting a licensed deficit in 2017/18 was 21, and the total estimated deficit of these schools at the end

of that financial year was expected to be £1.422m. Details are shown in the table below:

	2017/18 licensed	Estimated deficit at
	deficit requests	31 March 2018
Primary	15	£0.656m
Secondary	5	£0.716m
Special	1	£0.050m
Total	21	£1.422m

Unfortunately, corresponding figures for the number of schools who requested a licensed deficit in 2016/17 are not available, but the number of schools who actually ended the financial year with a deficit balance over the last three years has been as follows:

	March 2016	March 2017	March 2018
Nursery	1	1	1
Secondary	8	17	20
Primary	2	2	3
Special/APC	2	5	2
Total	13	25	26
<b>Deficit Value</b>	£0.261m	£0.729m	£1.313m

- (d) The three-year budget exercise was carried out at the beginning of the current academic year using indicative budget allocations for 2019/20 and 2020/21. Since that time, all schools have received their actual 2019/20 school budget shares. This will have changed the financial position for some, and they now have until 31 May 2019 to set and approve their 2019/20 budget. Since the turn of the calendar year, Finance officers have been engaged in detailed work with all 50 schools, and early indications are that a number of these schools will be able to set a balanced budget next year. In some cases this is due to carrying forward higher balances into next year as a result of pro-actively making cost savings during 2018/19, but in many cases it is through making staffing reductions either by means of redundancy or by not replacing staff who are leaving. The actual number of schools requesting a licensed deficit for 2019/20 will not be known until the end of May, but the expectation is that numbers are likely to be slightly up on 2018/19.
- (e) The implications of this announcement are not known at the current time. I will ask officers to ensure that I am kept fully informed of the likely implications as and when these become known.
- 5. Written question from **Mrs Millson** for reply by the by the **Cabinet**Member for Environment

### Question

I understand that the County Council is the lead local authority in Sussex working with Robin Hood Energy (RHE), a not-for-profit licenced energy supplier owned by

Nottingham City Council, to deliver a range of energy tariffs to residents across Sussex through Your Energy Sussex (YES).

Over the past year or so a number of smaller energy suppliers have ceased trading resulting in Ofgem having to identify a supplier of last resort for thousands of householders, many of whom end up having to pay increased energy prices.

I am also given to understand that in December last year Robin Hood Energy borrowed an additional £5.5m from Nottingham City Council in the form of an interest bearing short term loan.

I would be grateful if the Cabinet Member could:

- (a) Tell me how many West Sussex residents have signed up to energy supplies from RHE through Your Energy Sussex;
- (b) Summarise the arrangement the County Council has with RHE to include the extent of the financial commitment made and the length of the contract/service level agreement;
- (c) Describe any steps she has taken to satisfy herself regarding the financial sustainability of RHE; and
- (d) Outline whether in the event that RHE ceased trading there is any additional protection for those West Sussex residents who would be affected through the arrangement with Your Energy Sussex (beyond intervention by Ofgem).

### **Answer**

- (a) As at 31 March 2019, Your Energy Sussex (YES) had 3,835 customers on supply with over 7,000 metered connections (the majority of customers have dual fuel contracts).
- (b) YES is a local, not-for-profit energy supplier offering competitively-priced gas and 100% renewable electricity to residents in West Sussex, East Sussex and Brighton and Hove. The service is supplied under a 'white label' agreement between the County Council and Robin Hood Energy (RHE), the licensed energy company owned by Nottingham City Council.

We chose a white label approach because RHE carries the financial and regulatory responsibilities associated with running a licensed energy company. Establishing our own licensed energy company would have required significant investment with limited additional benefit for our residents.

Within its first year of operation, YES acquired a large enough customer base to cover its modest set up costs (mainly website and printed leaflets) and now, in year two, will begin to use the surplus it generates to build a Fuel Poverty Fund which will be used to support residents who are struggling to pay their bills.

Under the terms of the contract, RHE supplies all back office functions (energy trading, customer service, billing etc.) at no cost to the Council and its partners. Energy tariffs are marketed locally by the County Council and the 12 supporting local authorities under the YES brand. This is funded from the commission payments received from RHE.

We selected RHE as our preferred supplier following a detailed procurement exercise in 2017 and we continue to keep the company's performance and financial health under close scrutiny. The Cabinet Member for Environment and Director of Energy, Waste and Environment form part of a Governance Board which meets quarterly with RHE. We are currently in year two of a five-year contract term.

- (c) As demonstrated by the number of recent small supplier failures there are industry risks which cannot be avoided. The energy market is fiercely competitive and rates are changing constantly. As a result, the majority of energy suppliers are expected to have made a loss in the last financial year. We continue to monitor performance through contract management KPIs and keep the company's financial status under close scrutiny. We are confident that RHE has a strong financial position for the following reasons:
  - It differs to most energy suppliers in the market because its parent company is a public sector body which offers it a degree of financial stability not available to the majority of its competitors. It also has trading strategies and risk management products in place to further support its position.
  - The business is operated on a prudent and sustainable basis. This is evidenced by the fact that it generated a £202,000 surplus last year in only its second full year of trading. This is a rare achievement for a new entrant energy supplier.
  - RHE paid its multi-million pound Renewable Obligation in full by the original August 2018 deadline. It has subsequently been revealed that 34 energy suppliers failed to meet their obligation by the deadline and received heavy fines. For some failed suppliers, this contributed to the closure of their business.
  - Nottingham City Council provides parental support in the form of Parental Company Guarantees and a loan facility to provide cash where required. Smaller suppliers may not have such a facility. This loan facility is vital during times of significant customer growth because energy must be purchased up-front on the day that a new customer signs up. RHE recently acquired 12,000 customers across its various brands in a 12-week period which highlighted the importance of the facility provided by Nottingham City Council to alleviate short-term cash flow issues and enable long-term growth.
- (d) Ofgem's 'Supplier of Last Resort' scheme ensures consumers are fully protected should their energy supplier fail. There is no financial risk to the consumer, credit balances on energy accounts are protected and there is no interruption of energy supply. Consumers are free to switch away from the Ofgem nominated supplier at any time without charge. There is, therefore, no requirement for YES to provide any additional protection.

6. Written question from **Mr Jones** for reply by the by the **Cabinet Member** for **Finance and Resources** 

### Question

The Cabinet Member may recall that in November 2017 he chaired a meeting of the Pension Panel which considered a petition submitted by Worthing Climate Action Network calling for West Sussex County Council and Adur & Worthing Borough Council to divest all their funds currently invested in fossil fuel companies and instead invest in renewable sources.

At that time the Panel were told the level of funds invested in fossil fuel were as follows:

Baillie Gifford: Nine companies amounting to 3.94% of total portfolio; and UBS: 17 companies amounting to 10.31% of their portfolio.

Having considered the petition the Panel undertook to give further consideration to the issues and challenges it raised when considering future investment strategies.

I understand that as of the end of February 2019 the level of funds invested in fossil fuel were as follows:

Baillie Gifford: Three companies amounting to 1.9% of total portfolio; and UBS: Nine companies amounting to 2.6% of their portfolio.

I am pleased to note there has been a decrease in the level of funds invested in fossil fuel and would be grateful if the Cabinet Member could:

- (a) Confirm the extent to which the Panel has honoured its commitment made in 2017 to consider the issues raised in the petition when considering future investment strategies;
- (b) Outline the extent to which the reduction in level of investment in fossil fuel has been as a result of the Panel directing the fund managers to reduce the level of fossil fuel investments or as a consequence of other investments being more financially beneficial; and
- (c) Given that a number of local authorities have already divested funds from those companies, whose actions were fuelling climate change, can the Cabinet Member confirm he will undertake to ensure the Panel once again discusses whether this Council should consider divesting its pension funds when it meets in April to review its investment strategy.

### **Answer**

(a) As long-term responsible shareholders the Pension Panel continues to consider the issues raised in the petition about fossil fuels as part of its Investment Strategy. Panel discussions with its managers on environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues, form a key part of the investment analysis and decision making process. It is important to note

that Queen's Counsel's Opinion, provided to the Scheme Advisory Board, advises that the power of investment must be for investment purposes only, and not for any wider purpose, and directed towards achieving a wide variety of suitable investments to achieve what is best for the financial position of the Fund.

- (b) The Panel continues to discuss ESG issues with its investment managers. The current reduction in fossil fuel investment is as a result of the active management of the portfolio by those managers, while also in line with the wishes of the Panel.
- (c) As long-term, responsible shareholders the Pension Panel believes in engagement ESG issues relating to its investments and has a preference to corporate engagement rather than the exclusion of stocks from the Fund. The Pension Panel will give further consideration to ESG issues as part of its Business Plan for 2019/20, including the impact of LGPS Asset Pooling and best practice. However, this must be in the best financial interests of the Fund and consideration will be given to the benefits of engagement with a broad range of companies.
- 7. Written question from Mr S J Oakley for reply by the by the Cabinet Member for Highways and Infrastructure

### Question

The Cabinet Member for Highways and Infrastructure is requested to provide an update on work towards, and proposals for, Transport for the South East (TfSE) to have Statutory Status, particularly with regards:

- (a) Constitutional and Governance Arrangements;
- (b) How will it avoid duplicating the activities and responsibilities of existing Public Bodies responsible for transport;
- (c) Funding arrangements, including any enablement of a capability to raise Capital/impose charges;
- (d) Staffing levels, including envisaged senior staff/Independent Appointees remuneration packages; and
- (e) Proposed West Sussex County Council scrutiny of, and decision making process on, proposals towards TfSE having Statutory Status?

### **Answer**

- (a) No changes are expected to the County Council's Constitution or governance arrangements as a result of Transport for the South East (TfSE) obtaining statutory status.
- (b) No single body currently fulfils all of the powers and responsibilities that TfSE is seeking to support the work of its constituent authorities and

partners. The benefits of TfSE are that it will provide a single vision for long-term strategic transport planning and promote cross-regional transport priorities. It is expected to provide a single voice and be more effective than the individual local authorities it represents at influencing decisions made by the Government and its agencies (Highways England and Network Rail) about investment in strategic transport infrastructure (for example, the Roads Investment Strategy and Rail Network Enhancement Programme). Several of the powers likely to be sought would be concurrent with local authorities and TfSE would only ever exercise these local powers with the consent of the local authority concerned.

- (c) To date, funding for TfSE has been provided by the constituent local transport authorities (county councils contribute £58,000 per annum) and a grant of £1m from the Department for Transport. Future funding arrangements are expected to be established through the upcoming Government Spending Review, through which TfSE is seeking funding for core activities. It is not known whether contributions from local transport authorities will be expected to continue once statutory status has been obtained and no commitment has been made to do so beyond 2019/20. Once statutory status has been obtained, it is anticipated that TfSE will have a role in determining priorities for spending transport funding in the South East.
- (d) A modest staffing compliment of 7.5 full-time equivalents led by Rupert Clubb, Director of Communities, Economy and Transport at East Sussex County Council has been appointed on a two-year fixed term basis to enable TfSE to reach statutory status. The current staffing structure costs approximately £493,000 per year including on-costs. It is recognised that staffing requirements will need to change to reflect the powers and responsibilities of a statutory body but as these are still subject to change, the staffing requirements have not yet been defined.
- (e) Formal consultation on the draft proposal with take place between 3 May and 31 July 2019. The County Council's consultation response will be a key decision by the Cabinet Member for Highways and Infrastructure in June or July.
- 8. Written question from **Mr Quinn** for reply by the by the **Cabinet Member for Highways and Infrastructure**

### Question

In respect of on-street parking, I would be grateful if the Cabinet Member could provide me with:

- (a) A breakdown of the income per District and Borough during 2018/19 (if the figures for the full year are not yet available please provide info up to the end of February); and
- (b) A breakdown of the estimated income by District and Borough for 2019/20, assuming that usage patterns follow this year's and allowing for the

estimated impact of the next increase in charges due from 1 September 2019.

### Answer

(a) The total income (incorporating permit sales as well as Pay & Display and off-street income where appropriate) from each district and borough council is as follows:

Parking Area	April 2018 - Feb 2019	Projected to April 2019
Countywide	19,841	21,645
Adur	13,332	13,332
Arun	364,281	437,137
Chichester	369,123	402,680
Crawley	308,142	410,856
Horsham	177,794	193,957
Mid Sussex	261,485	285,257
Worthing	1,739,596	2,319,461
Total	3,253,595	4,084,325.52

- (b) It is not yet possible to assess the impact upon income of a September 2019 review of parking charges because the options for consideration have yet to be assessed. It is expected that these options will be included in a draft parking charges report to be prepared in April and that report will be shared with members in May. The final report, including the views of members, will be considered in June. By way of a guide, using data from 2016/17 and assuming no demand reduction, it could reasonably be expected that an increase in on-street parking charges (allowing for RPI and other changes required for traffic management purposes) would result in a very approximate increase in income of between £200,000 and £350,000 countywide.
- 9. Written question from **Mr Jones** for reply by the **Cabinet Member for Safer, Stronger Communities**

### Question

Earlier this month the Environment, Communities and Fire Select Committee was invited to scrutinise the proposal for Community Hubs and plans for Worthing library and comment on the business case prior to final Transformation Board approval on 25 March 2019.

At that meeting members of the Committee learnt that the Community Hubs project would require a total of £10.2m to create ten Community Hubs including the first of which will be based at Worthing library. Phase one of the project would see three Hubs in addition to Worthing delivered.

Can the Cabinet Member please confirm the ten locations which have been

discussed by the member project board and the Transformation Board, and tell me which of these will form phase one alongside Worthing?

### Answer

The Community Hubs programme is an exciting project to remodel some of the County Council's buildings to create modern, flexible and integrated community spaces to host library and children and family services under one roof.

Given the complexity of the programme and to recognise that it impacts across several Cabinet Portfolios, a Member Project Board has been created to support its development and implementation.

The first Hub in Worthing Library which will be a 'showcase' for the programme and has been subject to extensive community engagement and consultation with local people. Following positive feedback, a detailed design brief is being prepared and an implementation plan developed with a scheduled opening for June 2020.

The Member Project Board is working to identify a further number of key locations across West Sussex where a Community Hub would be appropriate, utilising a detailed set of criteria. It is envisaged that the programme will be split into three phases with Phase 1 including three additional locations alongside Worthing Library where further viability and feasibility testing work will be commissioned.

As deliberated at the Environment, Communities and Fire Select Committee, this work is ongoing, and the Member Project Board is yet to finalise the potential locations. However, it has been agreed that once this work is complete the details will be shared with the Select Committee.

# **10.** Written question from **Mr Oxlade** for reply by the **Cabinet Member for Safer**, **Stronger Communities**

### Question

I am a strong advocate of the 'Tell Us Once' scheme which reduces the number of calls someone has to make when a loved one dies, whilst at the same time enables the County Council to recover any equipment promptly and prevents potential overpayment of allowances or fraudulent use of blue badges.

I would be grateful if the Cabinet Member could confirm what percentage of those who have registered a death with the County Council have activated the 'Tell Us Once' process at the end of 2016, 2017 and 2018 and comment on the extent to which use of the scheme is increasing.

### Answer

The percentage of those who registered a death and then went on to activate the Tell Us Once service is as follows (details for Tell Us Once are provided per financial year):

2015/16 - 62%

2016/17 - 67%

2017/18 - 68%

2018/19 - 71%

A more detailed breakdown of the Tell Us Once service is as follows:

	Year end 2015/16 <sup>1</sup>	Year end 2016/17	Year end 2017/18	Year end 2018/19
Number of deaths captured by the registrars	6,138	8,730	8,949	8,144
Number progressed to	3,807	5,845	6,068	5,812
enrichment	(62%)	(67%)	(68%)	(71%)
Number of separate notifications sent to County Council departments following enrichment <sup>2</sup>	6,219	9,528	9,761	9,591

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tell Us Once commenced in July 15, so data for 2015/16 is not for a full year

- Adults' and Children's services;
- Blue Badge and Concessionary Travel; and
- Library Services.

### Tell Us Once and the Coroners Service

West Sussex also introduced a unique service via the Coroner's team. When a death is referred to the Coroner, it can take longer to process, so when a death is eventually registered, it was too late to use the Tell Us Once service.

With over 3,000 deaths referred to the West Sussex Coroner each year, we trained the Coroners Administrative Assistants to capture the deceased's details onto the Tell Us Once system so families can use it straightaway. We pioneered this and a few other local authorities are now offering the same.

### Glossary

'Capture': Registrars input on to Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) system and give customers a unique reference number.

'Enrichment': the process the customer follows to use the Tell Us Once service either by going online or telephoning the DWP helpdesk.

'Notifications': each enrichment will generate notifications to multiple services, both within the County Council and district and borough councils.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> County Council Departments receiving Tell Us Once notifications:

### **Question Time: 5 April 2019**

Members asked questions of members the Cabinet and chairmen as set out below. In instances where a Cabinet Member, the Leader or a chairman undertook to take follow-up action, this is also noted below.

### **Best Start in Life**

Motion to Crawley Borough Council on the Integrated Prevention and Earliest Help budget (Cabinet Member for Children and Young People), from Mr Crow and Mr Jones.

Beechfield secure unit (Cabinet Members for Children and Young People and Education and Skills), from Mrs Arculus, Mr Jones and Mr Smytherman.

In response to a question from Mr Smytherman about how much it had cost the Council to place three children in secure accommodation over the last year while Beechfield was closed, the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People said he would let him know.

In response to Mr Smytherman's query about who would be providing the education provision once Beechfield reopened, the Cabinet Member for Education and Skills said he would let him know.

In response to a question from Mrs Arculus, the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People said he would let her know what revenue Beechfield had previously generated.

School placements for 2019/20 in Worthing (Cabinet Member for Education and Skills), from Mr High and Mr Waight.

In response to a question from Mr High about complaints from parents, the Cabinet Member said he would be happy to look into particular cases if Mr High sent him details.

### A Prosperous Place

Future library at Burgess Hill (Leader), from Mr Barrett-Miles.

In response to a request for information about the future library provision at Burgess Hill, the Leader undertook to ensure all members were informed of the plans once negotiations had been completed and before a press release was issued.

Additional capital from the Department for Transports' Roads Fund for road improvement works (Cabinet Member for Highways and Infrastructure), from Ms Lord, Mr S J Oakley, Dr O'Kelly, Mr Quinn and Dr Walsh.

In response to a question from Ms Lord, as to why the contract for resurfacing works in Hassocks had not included the ability to tow cars that had not been removed voluntarily, the Cabinet Member said he would respond to Ms Lord with clarification as to how vehicles could be moved legally, an indication as to the

costs incurred of repeat visits to complete resurfacing works and would also look at the implications of including towing in future contracts.

In response to a question from Mr Quinn, the Cabinet Member said he would provide him with an update on progress on road improvement works in due course.

In response to a question from Dr Walsh about why the cats' eyes on Long Furlong had been removed leaving small pits, the Cabinet Member said he would seek clarification and respond to Dr Walsh.

A29 realignment (Cabinet Member for Highways and Infrastructure), from Mr Edwards.

The Cabinet Member said he would share with Mr Edwards a response regarding the severe financial implications of extending the proposals to link to the A27 at Fontwell and would also be happy to consider meeting residents of Shripney and Lidsey to listen to their concerns about the proposals.

### A Council that works for the Community

Brexit preparations (Leader), from Mrs Millson.

In relation to a request for an update on preparations for Brexit, the Leader said she would ask the Chief Executive to prepare a briefing note for all members.

Budget preparations for 2019/20 (Leader), from Mr Catchpole.