Agenda item	Environment & Communities Scrutiny Committee recommendations (30 September 2021)	Response	
Local Flood Risk Management Strategy		Based on the number of properties at risk, the 25 areas in priority order (from highest to lowest) are as follows:         1.       East Preston Parish         2.       Southwater Parish         3.       Easebourne Parish         4.       Washington Parish         5.       Shoreham & Southwick (unparished area)         6.       Lancing Parish         7.       Linchmere Parish         8.       Crawley Borough         9.       Billingshurst Parish         10.       Lindfield Parish         11.       Itchingfield Parish         12.       Worthing Borough         13.       Haywards Heath Parish         14.       Hassocks Parish         15.       Littlehampton Parish         16.       Westbourne Parish         17.       Findon Parish	
		<ol> <li>Burgess Hill Parish</li> <li>Steyning Parish</li> <li>Angmering Parish</li> <li>Worth Parish</li> <li>Horsham (unparished area)</li> <li>Rustington Parish</li> </ol>	
		<ul><li>24. Sompting Parish</li><li>25. Chichester Parish</li></ul>	

## **Cabinet Member Responses**

2. Welcomes a briefing note on who is responsible for what in managing flood risk.	Chapter 2 of the updated Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS) sets out the roles and responsibilities of the Risk Management Authorities, that is, the County Council (as both Lead Local Flood Authority and Local Highway Authority), the Environment Agency, the Water Companies, the District and Borough Councils, and National Highways. It also addresses the role of stakeholders and partners, including utility and infrastructure providers, riparian owners, parish and town councils, property owners and residents, and flood action groups. The 'Flooding' pages on the County Council's website will be revised, as necessary, to provide clarity about roles and responsibilities in relation to flood risk management. And a briefing note placed on the MINE and in members Bulletin (this is for the benefit of new members who weren't at the committee meeting).
3. Acknowledges the challenge of accessing funding.	Noted. Appendix D of the LFRMS identifies potential sources of revenue and capital funding to implement the Strategy. These include WSCC funds, developer contributions (both S106 and the Community Infrastructure Levy), the Flood Defence Grant in Aid (FDGiA) via the Regional Flood and Coastal Committee (RFCC), the Local Levy via the RFCC, and one-off DEFRA grants. At this stage, the size of the funding challenge is not currently known because no specific projects/schemes are identified in the LFRMS. They will be identified during the life of the Strategy, mainly through the studies on the 25 priority areas.

## **Cabinet Member Responses**

	the d areas and t	that consideration should be eliverability of actions for the s with the time and resources the resilience of the Council t te change rainfall events.	e priority available	The focus for implementation of the LFRMS will be on undertaking studies of the 25 priority areas that will, where necessary, identify the need for physical works to address flood risk. Wherever possible, the studies will be undertaken using `in-house' resources supplemented, as necessary, by the use of external consultants. Any infrastructure schemes identified through the studies will be taken forward for delivery through the Capital Programme. Resilience to climate change will be a key consideration in the development of any schemes that are identified.
		urages more proactive enford rs to degrading drainage sys		Unfortunately, given resource constraints, it is not possible to take a proactive approach to the identification of problems across the County. However, where problems are reported (often by the parish and town councils), the County Council works in partnership with the District and Borough Councils to ensure that riparian owners undertake the necessary repairs to their infrastructure as quickly as possible.
Response from C	abinet Mer	nber for Highways and Tra	ansport – M	Irs Dennis
Agenda item		Environment & Communities Scrutiny Committee recommendations (30 September 2021)	Respo	nse
Highways Maintenance Contracts		i. Acknowledges that very so the whole process of		<ul> <li>scrutiny will be engaged in the process.</li> </ul>

procuring highways maintenance contracts starts again and requests that the Committee is involved as and when appropriate. ii. Would like to know more about what the impact may be over the winter of a shortage of HGV drivers and how that impacts on service delivery.	Following a number of operational discussions with our contractor Balfour Beatty, it has been confirmed that they have secured enough HGV drivers to deliver the Winter Service for 21/22. There are a total of 19 routes to be treated at any one time and a total of 31 drivers secured, which provides a good level of resource and resilience to successfully deliver the Winter Service. Obviously, this is subject to sickness and market conditions changing but ultimately it is their responsibility to deliver the service.
iii. Would like a better understanding of the drainage infrastructure.	This will be covered in a future member Member Development Session.
iv. Requests training on this subject when and where appropriate.	A programme is currently under development.